



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/503,362      | 02/14/2000  | Pekka J. Heinonen    | 4925-34             | 6491             |

7590

12/09/2005

Michael C Stuart Esq  
Cohen Pontani Lieberman & Pavane  
551 Fifth Avenue Suite 1210  
New York, NY 10176

EXAMINER

SENF, BEHROOZ M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2613

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|                          |                        |                     |  |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|                          | 09/503,362             | HEINONEN, PEKKA J.  |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|                          | Behrooz Senfi          | 2613                |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Behrooz Senfi. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Alphonso, Collins (registration No. 43559). (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 21 November 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 8, 14 and 15.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner appreciates applicant's remarks and explanation in regards to interface module, includes protocol stack and user agent and signal generator (all three elements are inside the module). Examiner would reconsider the above subject matter after receiving an official response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

YULE  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required